FILED CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT MAR - 7 2014 1 John R. Armstrong. Calif. Bar No. 183912 E-mail: jarmstrong@horwitzarmstrong.com 2 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA Christopher L. Tinen, Calif. Bar No. 279553 E-mail: ctinen@horwitzarmstrong.com HORWITZ + ARMSTRONG LLP 26475 Rancho Parkway South 4 Lake Forest, CA 92630 (949) 540-6540 (TEL.) 5 (949) 540-6583 (FAX) Attorneys for Plaintiff, Vape Holdings, Inc. 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 1682 FMO-JCx 1.1 VAPE HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware COMPLAINT FOR: corporation, 12 Plaintiff. 1) Breach of Contract: 13 Promissory Estoppel; and. versus 3) Slander of Title 14 [Complaint seeks money damages, STONE ARCH STUDIO LLC, a 15 punitive damages, injunctive and Minnesota limited liability company; WAEL "WALLY" SAKALLAH, an declaratory relief 16 individual, JURY TRIAL DEMANDED 17 Defendants. 18 19 20 Plaintiff, VAPE HOLDINGS, INC. complains and alleges as 21 follows against defendants Stone Arch Studio, LLC and Wael "Wally" 22 Sakallah: 23 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 24 1. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction by diversity 25 jurisdiction under Title 28 U.S.C. § 1332 in that this is a civil action 26 between citizens of different states and the amount in controversy 27 28

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND EQUITABLE RELIEF

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12 13

14

16

15

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs.

Venue is proper in this district under Title 28 U.S.C. § 2. 1391 in that a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claim occurred in this judicial district.

PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff VAPE HOLDINGS, INC. is a Delaware corporation ("Vape") with its principal place of business in Woodland Hills, Los Angeles, County, California, which is located in the United States Central District of California.
- Vape is informed and believes, and so alleges that defendant STONE ARCH STUDIO LLC ("Stone Arch") is a Minnesota limited liability company with its principal place of business at 314 Buchanan St. NE, Minneapolis, Minnesota.
- Vape is informed and believes and based thereon alleges 5. defendant WAEL SAKALLAH ("Sakallah"), otherwise known as "Wally Sakallah," is and was at all relevant times a resident of Minnesota and is the sole member and managing member of Defendant Stone Arch.
- Stone Arch and Sakallah are collectively referred to as 6. "defendants."
- 7. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and so alleges that at all times mentioned, defendants and each of them were the agents, servants, co-conspirators, partners, representatives or employees of each of the other defendants and were acting at all times either individually or within the course and scope of such capacity and authority, and with the advance knowledge, consent, permissions, acquiescence, and express or implied ratification of the remaining

defendants such that the actions of any defendant can be attributed to all other defendants, and that defendants each and of them knowingly aided and abetted each other to commit the wrongs alleged in this Complaint.

8. Vape is informed and believes, and so alleges that defendants, and each of them, were the alter egos of each of the other defendants. Plaintiff is further informed and believes, and so alleges that there exists and has existed, a unity of interest and ownership between them such that any individuality and separateness between these defendants has ceased, that defendants intermingled the assets of each to suit their convenience, and used business formalities for improper purposes. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and so alleges that adherence to the business fiction of defendants' separate existence as distinct individuals or entities would promote injustice or fraud.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 9. Plaintiff Vape is forced to bring this lawsuit because Stone Arch, and its principal, Sakallah, suffer from "seller's remorse." This remorse comes after Sakallah later learned that Vape is a publicly traded company, and an up and coming leader in the legal cannabis industry, which plans to produce high-intensity, vaporization element systems ("H.I.V.E."), a ceramic vaporization piece. That is, Stone Arch and Sakallah seek to extort more money from Vape than the previously agreed on sales price based on their post-sale inquiries regarding Vape's financial position.
- 10. Seller's remorse, however, is not a valid defense to a breach of contract claim where, as here, the buyer has unilaterally accepted

the seller's offer and tendered payment—especially when Vape has reasonably relied on and made significant business decisions on the seller's representation that the Hive trademark and tradename was sold to Vape.

Events Giving Rise to Offer and Acceptance Regarding the Sale of the Hive Trademark and Tradename to Vape

- 11. On December 14, 2013, Vape sent Stone Arch's principal, Sakallah, a preliminary term sheet of \$10,000 convertible note for the HIVE TM (the "Trademark") pending due diligence. A true and correct copy of the term sheet is attached as Exhibit "A."
- 12. In response, Sakallah rejected Vape's terms sheet and refused to sign on behalf of Stone Arch, but indicated that he would sell Stone Arch's trademark and tradename for more money.
- 13. Thereafter, the parties, Vape and Stone Arch, through their agents and representatives engaged in sales discussions for the purpose of Vape purchasing Stone Arch's HIVE trademark and tradename.
- 14. After rejecting Vape's initial offer, Sakallah made a counter-offer to Vape that he would sell Stone Arch's trademark and tradename to VAPE for \$50,000, which counter-offer was made in an email he sent to Vape's agent on December 26, 2013, a true and correct copy of which is attached as Exhibit "B."
- 15. In response, that same day, December 26, 2013, Vape counter-offered for either \$25,000 convertible note or \$30,000 cash in response to Stone Arch's \$50,000 demand. A true and correct copy of Vape's counter-offer is attached as Exhibit "C."

- 16. In response, Stone Arch re-asserted its \$50,000 offer to sell its Hive trademark and tradename. A true and correct copy of Stone Arch's \$50,000 counter-offer dated December 28, 2013 is attached as Exhibit "D."
- 17. In response, Vape, requested, without rejecting Stone Arch's \$50,000 counter-offer whether Stone Arch would be willing take \$30,000 cash plus \$10,000 note or \$40,000 cash in lieu of a lump sum payment of \$50,000. A true and correct copy of Vape's request is attached as Exhibit "E."
- 18. In response to Vape's request, Stone Arch's principal stated that he would only sell Stone Arch's Hive trademark and tradename in exchange for payment of \$50,000 on January 2, 2014. A true and correct copy of Stone Arch's offer to sell its Hive trademark and tradename for \$50,000 is attached as Exhibit "F."
- 19. In response, on January 2, 2014, Vape accepted Stone Arch's offer to sell its Hive trademark and tradename to Vape for \$50,000, and documented Vape's acceptance of Stone Arch's offer in an email to its principal, Sakallah. A true and correct copy of this email is attached as Exhibit "G."
- 20. Because the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office requires a written assignment, in Vape's acceptance e-mail, it indicated that it would provide Stone Arch the final documents to memorialize the formal assignment.
- 21. After this conversation, the parties understood that Vape had acquired the Hive trademark and tradename that Stone Arch had previously registered with the U.S.P.T.O., and based on this, began making significant business decisions based on launching a product

using the Hive trademark and tradename.

- 22. Later that same January, Vape's president met Sakallah at a tradeshow, where Sakallah acknowledged that he was taking steps to remove the Hive trademark and tradename from Stone Arch's products, and asked how soon Vape would be tendering payment for the sale.
- 23. After the tradeshow, Vape sent Stone Arch the required documentation to facilitate the assignment of Stone Arch's Hive trademark and tradename to Vape on January 21, 2014. A true and correct copy of this communication and enclosed documentation is attached as Exhibit "H."
- 24. In response, Sakallah indicated that he refused to sign the assignment contract documents until Stone Arch received the \$50,000 sales payment.
- 25. Based on this demand, Vape tendered Stone Arch a check for the full \$50,000. This check was returned by counsel for defendants to Vape shortly thereafter. A true and correct copy of this payment and defendants' rejection correspondence is attached Exhibit "I."
- 26. Despite Vape's tender of payment, Stone Arch and Sakallah have failed and refused to execute the necessary documentation to facilitate the assignment of Stone Arch's trademark and tradename to Vape.
- 27. Because defendants refuse to honor their promise, and have wrongly tried to renege on their accepted offer, and because Vape reasonably began investing in a new product and marketing line based on the Hive trademark and tradename, Vape has been forced to

28. Worse, defendants Stone Arch and Sakallah have been defaming Vape in the marketplace and falsely claiming that they are the rightful owner of the Hive trademark and tradename.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Breach of Contract)

29. Plaintiff adopts paragraphs 1-28 here by reference.

 30. Vape entered into a contract with Stone Arch to buy Stone Arch's Hive trademark and tradename for \$50,000.

31. Vape performed all of its obligations under the parties' agreement by tendering \$50,000 to Stone Arch as well as all the necessary paperwork needed by the U.S.P.T.O. to formally document the assignment and sale contract.

32. Stone Arch however has failed and refused to execute the necessary documents to complete the formal assignment of its Hive trademark and tradename to Vape.

33. Further, Stone Arch and Sakallah have falsely represented to Vape and to others that there is no agreement and that Stone Arch has reneged on its offer to sell its Hive trademark and tradename to Vape unless Stone Arch is paid much greater consideration than its previous \$50,000 demand.

34. Accordingly, Vape has performed all its obligations to complete the contract, but Stone Arch has breached the contract by refusing to honor its promises and commitments to Vape, choosing instead to go back on its word.

35. As a direct and proximate result of defendants' contract breaches stated above, plaintiff has directly and proximately

sustained economic losses, including, but not limited, consequential damages, incidental, and nominal damages in an amount to be proved at trial, but which are believed to exceed \$1,000,000, and defendants and each of them where or should have been aware that such damages would flow from their contract breaches should defendants fail and refuse to assign the Hive trademark and tradename to Vape.

36. Additionally, because the personalty at issue is unique, money damages would not be adequate remedy, and so Vape seeks specific performance of the assignment contract, as well as all consequential and incidental damages that have risen from defendant Stone Arch's breach of its sales agreement, in an amount to be proved at time of trial.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Promissory Estoppel)

- 37. Vape adopts by reference paragraphs 1-36 here.
- 38. Stone Arch and Sakallah knew or should have known that Vape would reasonably rely to its detriment on Stone Arch's and Sakallah's representations that Stone Arch would sell its Hive trademark and tradename to Vape for \$50,000.
- 39. Vape reasonably and actually relied on these representations, and based on these representations, began investing in a products and a marketing line using the Hive trademark and tradename, investing over substantial sums in the new H.I.V.E. product line.
- 40. As a result of defendants Stone Arch and Sakallah's representation, Vape seeks damages in an amount to be proven at time of trial, which is believed to be over \$1,000,000 in total damages

4 5

7

8

6

9

10

12

11

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

22

23

21

24 25

26 27

28

at this time as consequence of Vape's reliance on Stone Arch's and Sakallah's promises.

41. In that money damages would provide an inadequate remedy because the personal property at issue is unique, Vape also seeks specific performance in the nature of an injunction against defendants Stone Arch and Sakallah to assign the Hive trademark and tradename to plaintiff, and to cease and desist their claiming ownership to or in the Hive trademark and tradename.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Slander of Title)

- Vape adopts paragraphs 1-41 here. 42.
- Defendant Stone Arch, by and through its principal, 43. Sakallah made statements at tradeshows and to other persons and businesses in the legal cannabis industry, which included statements that Stone Arch was the sole and exclusive owner of the Hive trademark and tradename and that the Hive trademark and tradename had not been sold to Vape.
- 44. Defendants made these false statements without privilege or justification because defendants made these statements after plaintiff had accepted defendants' offer to sell the Hive trademark and tradename to plaintiff for \$50,000.
- Defendants intentionally made these false statements with 45. knowledge that they were and are false, and made them for the purpose of causing injury to Vape, as these statements were reasonably calculated to cause direct and immediate pecuniary loss to Vape, including, but not limited, reputational harm to Vape and to Vape's interest in the Hive trademark and tradename that Stone Arch

had sold to Vape.

- 46. Defendants and each of them made these false and slanderous statements with intent to harass, vex, and extort more money from Vape in excess of the agreed upon contract price. That is, defendants made these statements with malice and ill-will, and for the purpose of intentionally harming Vape and Vape's good name in violation of California Civil Code, § 3294, so as to warrant the issuance of punitive damages to punish and deter defendants and each of them in an amount to be proved at trial, above and beyond the compensatory damages being claimed in this action.
- 47. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's slanderous statements stated above, Vape has been injured and seeks compensatory damages, which damages include, but are not limited to, recovery of its reasonable legal fees and expenses that defendants have caused plaintiff to incur so that plaintiff can establish clear title to the Hive trademark and tradename by removing defendants' cloud on plaintiff's title to this property, as well as all other damages reasonably necessary to remove doubt that Vape has a legally protectable property interest in the Hive trademark and tradename, as well as damages to correct the harm that defendants Stone Arch and Sakallah have caused by their disparaging statements.
- 48. Vape further seeks recovery of any and all other financial losses it has or will sustain resulting from the impairment of its claim of ownership and cloud on its title to and in the Hive name and trademark. Vape also seeks general and special damages for the time and inconvenience Vape has suffered by being forced to take efforts to remove the doubt defendants have cast upon Vape's ownership in the

d. An injunction should issue prohibiting Stone Arch and Sakallah from claiming that Vape does not own the Hive trademark or tradename.

DEMAND FOR JUDGMENT

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Vape Holdings, Inc. demands judgment be entered for plaintiff and against defendants, and each of them, as follows:

- 1. For money damages in an amount to be determined at trial, but in excess of \$1,000,000, including, but not limited to, attorney's fees and legal expenses as damages for those fees and expenses reasonably related to removing the cloud to plaintiff's title to the registered Hive trademark and tradename;
- 2. For an injunction enjoining defendants Stone Arch and Sakallah from using the Hive trademark or tradename or otherwise using or claiming that Vape does not own the Hive trademark and tradename;
 - 3. For declaratory relief as set out above;
- 4. For specific performance of the contract of sale to complete the assignment of the Hive trademark and tradename to Vape;
 - 5. For pre-judgment interest according to law;
- 6. For reasonable attorney's fees and reasonable legal and marketing expenses necessary to clear Vape's title to the Hive trademark and tradename in an amount to be proved at trial;
 - 7. For costs of suit; and

1	8.	For such other an	d further relief as this court deems fair
2	and equita	able under the circu	ımstances.
3	_		
4	DATED:	March 7, 2014	HORWITZ + ARMSTRONG LLP
5		,	1101
6			By: /S
7			John R. Armstrong, Christopher L. Tinen,
8			Attorneys for Plaintiff,
9			Vape Holdings, Inc.
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			13

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL Plaintiff Vape Holdings, Inc. hereby demands trial by jury on all issues raised by its Complaint for which there is right to trial by jury on, under the 7th and 14th Amendments to the U.S. Constitution, under Article I, § 16 of the California Constitution, and under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 38 and 39. DATED: March 7, 2014 HORWITZ + ARMSTRONG LLP By: <u>/S</u> John R. Armstrong, Christopher L. Tinen, Attorneys for Plaintiff, Vape Holdings, Inc.

EXHIBIT "A"

December 14, 2013

<u>CONFIDENTIAL</u>

Mr. Wally Sakallah Stone Arch Studio, LLC 314 Buchanan St. NE Minneapolis, MN 55413 sakallahw@yahoo.com

Dear Wally:

I am writing to set forth the basis upon which Stone Arch Studio, LLC ("Stone Arch Studio") and PeopleString Corporation (OTCQB:PLPE) ("PeopleString") propose to enter into discussions regarding the potential acquisition of the Hive trademark by PeopleString (the "Proposed Transaction"). Stone Arch Studio and PeopleString shall sometimes be collectively referred to herein as the "Parties".

- 1. **Preliminary Term Sheet**. Attached as <u>Exhibit A</u> is a preliminary term sheet which sets forth the principal terms of the Proposed Transaction. Stone Arch Studio and PeopleString shall conduct negotiations in good faith based on the attached preliminary term sheet (the "Term Sheet"). However, the terms contained in the Term Sheet have not been unequivocally agreed to by any Party, are based on certain assumptions concerning the completion of a due diligence review and are subject to reasonable change based upon the results of such review.
- 2. **Due Diligence Review**. The Parties willingness to proceed with the negotiation of the Proposed Transaction is subject to the satisfactory completion of a review by the Parties and their advisors of the business, financial, technical and legal affairs associated with the Proposed Transaction during the five days following the date of this Term Sheet (the "Due Diligence").
- Diligence, the Parties shall concurrently proceed to negotiate in good faith definitive documentation (the "Definitive Agreements"). The obligations of the parties to consummate the transaction contemplated hereby shall be subject in all respects to the negotiation, execution and delivery of the Definitive Agreements, and neither party shall have any liability to the other if the Parties fail for any reason to execute the Definitive Agreements. Either party may terminate this Term Sheet and negotiations at any time prior to executing the Definitive Agreements, and if not earlier terminated, this Term Sheet will terminate automatically 10 days from the date of this Term Sheet, unless extended by mutual written consent of the Parties. PeopleString will provide

Stone Arch with an initial draft of the Definitive Agreements within five days of the date of this correspondence and it is contemplated that the Proposed Transaction shall close no later than 10 days from the date of this correspondence, unless otherwise mutually agreed (the "Closing Date").

- 4. **Expenses**. The Parties shall pay their own expenses and fees incurred in connection with the Proposed Transaction, including all legal and accounting fees and expenses, whether or not the acquisition is consummated.
- 5. Governing Law. This letter will be governed by the internal laws of the State of California, excluding any conflicts of law principles.

Please indicate your intent to proceed with negotiations of the Definitive Agreements on the terms outlined in <u>Exhibit A</u> to this letter by executing in the space provided below and returning a copy to me. We look forward to the success of the discussions contemplated by this letter.

Sincerely,

Kyle Tracey

ACR	FFD	AND	ACC	EPTED.

Stone	e Arch Studio, LLC	
Ву:	Wally Sakallah, President	

EXHIBIT"A"

HIVE TRADEMARK ASSIGNMENT TERM SHEET

This proposed term sheet constitutes an indication of interest for discussion purposes and preparation of definitive agreements only, and is not binding.

Company Stone Arch Studio, LLC

Amount \$10,000.00

Purchase Price PeopleString Corporation will provide the Company with

\$10,000 in the form of a convertible debenture in exchange for the assignment of the Hive trademark and

all rights associated therewith

Terms The note will be convertible at 40% of the lowest closing

bids for the 20 days preceding the conversion. The note

will bear an interest rate of 6%.

Confidentiality The existence of this term sheet and the individual terms

and conditions are of a confidential nature and shall not be disclosed to anyone, except to the Seller, the Buyer

and their respective legal advisors.

Closing Date On or about December 24, 2013.

EXHIBIT "B"

On	Dec 26.	2013. at	1:44 PM	"Wallv"	<sakallahw@< th=""><th>vahoo.com;</th><th>wrote:</th></sakallahw@<>	vahoo.com;	wrote:

Sorry I was out of town for the holidays. I did talk to my lawyer and the only thing I would be interested in doing is selling it for no less than \$50,000.

Wally

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 23, 2013, at 1:11 PM, Christopher Tinen < ctinen@hcalaw.biz> wrote:

Mr. Sakallah,

I have not been able to reach you and would like to discuss my client's offer for the HIVE trademark. Can you provide the best contact phone number for you? Thank you.

Sincerely,

CHRISTOPHER L. TINEN, ESQ.

HORWITZ, CRON & ARMSTRONG, LLP | 26475 RANCHO PARKWAY SOUTH, LAKE

FOREST, CA 92630

PHONE: 949.540.6540 | FAX: 949.540.6578 | E-MAIL: ctinen@hcalaw.biz

EXHIBIT "C"

Christopher Tinen

From: Christopher Tinen

Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2013 9:50 PM

To: Wally

Subject: Re: Hive Trademark Assignment

Wally,

I have discussed this with my client and would like to point out a few things.

First, as part of our offer, any unsold inventory you have would not be affected. So, for example, you would be free to sell the 1000 vaporizers you have remaining in stock and reap those profits as you normally have.

Second, the \$10,000 offer we made was in the form of a convertible note. This gives you downside protection in the event PLPE stock does not increase. Conversely, in the event the stock price of PLPE grows, you would be able to benefit substantially. We view this offer as far more than a mere \$10,000 cash offer.

Lastly, as Mr. Tracey discussed on our teleconference earlier this month, the connections to various parties in the industry he and the company have can be beneficial to your ongoing business. If you would like to include language to this effect we would be open to that idea.

That being said, my client has authorized me to offer a \$25,000 note on the same terms as our previous offer or \$30,000 cash.

We await your response and thank you for your consideration.

On Dec 26, 2013, at 1:44 PM, "Wally" <sakallahw@yahoo.com> wrote:

Sorry I was out of town for the holidays. I did talk to my lawyer and the only thing I would be interested in doing is selling it for no less than \$50,000.

Wally

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 23, 2013, at 1:11 PM, Christopher Tinen < ctinen@hcalaw.biz> wrote:

Mr. Sakallah,

I have not been able to reach you and would like to discuss my client's offer for the HIVE trademark. Can you provide the best contact phone number for you? Thank you.

Sincerely,

CHRISTOPHER L. TINEN, ESQ.

HORWITZ, CRON & ARMSTRONG, LLP | 26475 RANCHO PARKWAY SOUTH, LAKE

FOREST, CA 92630

PHONE: 949.540.6540 | FAX: 949.540.6578 | E-MAIL: ctinen@hcalaw.biz

EXHIBIT "D"

From: Wael Sakallah <<u>sakallahw@yahoo.com</u>>
Date: December 28, 2013 at 8:22:40 AM PST
To: Christopher Tinen <<u>ctinen@hcalaw.biz</u>>
Subject: Re: Hive Trademark Assignment

Reply-To: Wael Sakallah < sakallah w@yahoo.com >

Thank you for your response, my offer stands at \$50,000 or I am not interested. Thank you.

Wally

On Friday, December 27, 2013 6:33 PM, Christopher Tinen < ctinen@hcalaw.biz> wrote: Wally,

We have yet to receive a response from you on the below offer. My client has instructed me to advise you that if we do not receive a response to the below offer by **close of business on Monday, December 30, 2013** the offer is hereby revoked and we will not be pursuing this transaction any further. As we have indicated to you previously the company is interested in the HIVE name but is fully prepared to move forward with a different name. We do believe we are providing a fair offer and presenting you with a unique opportunity to be involved with this emerging growth company.

We await your reply.

Sincerely,

CHRISTOPHER L. TINEN, ESQ.

HORWITZ, CRON & ARMSTRONG, IIp I 26475 Rancho Parkway South, Lake Forest, CA 92630

Phone: 949.540.6540 | FAX: 949.540.6578 | E-MAIL: ctinen@hcalaw.biz

<u>Circular 230 Notice</u>: In accordance with Treasury Regulations we notify you that any tax advice given herein (or in any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used by any taxpayer, for the purpose of (i) avoiding tax penalties or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein (or in any attachments).

Attention: This message is sent by a law firm and may contain information that is privileged or confidential. If you received this transmission in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail and delete the message and any attachments.

EXHIBIT "E"

Christopher Tinen

From: Christopher Tinen

Sent: Monday, December 30, 2013 3:47 PM

To: 'Wael Sakallah'

Subject: RE: Hive Trademark Assignment

Wally,

Thank you for your response. I understand your offer stands at \$50,000 cash. That amount of cash is quite tough for a startup company such as my client. The company has much more in equity to offer than it has in cash...hence our equity offers. If you haven't noticed, the company's stock is now trading at \$0.19 up substantially from when we started these discussions.

Can you meet us halfway? I would propose either 1) \$30,000 in cash with a \$10,000 equity component or 2) \$40,000 cash. I have yet to take this to my client and do not yet have authority to offer it. However, it is a number that I personally believe I can get my client to agree on. If you indicate you are willing to meet halfway I will get the authority from my client and we can wrap this up. Your prompt response is appreciated.

Sincerely,

CHRISTOPHER L. TINEN, ESQ.

HORWITZ, CRON & ARMSTRONG, LLP | 26475 RANCHO PARKWAY SOUTH, LAKE FOREST, CA 92630 PHONE: 949.540.6540 | Fax: 949.540.6578 | E-MAIL: ctinen@hcalaw.biz

<u>CIRCULAR 230 NOTICE</u>: IN ACCORDANCE WITH TREASURY REGULATIONS WE NOT FY YOU THAT ANY TAX ADVICE GIVEN HEREIN (OR IN ANY ATTACHMENTS) IS NOT INTENDED OR WRITTEN TO BE USED, AND CANNOT BE USED BY ANY TAXPAYER, FOR THE PURPOSE OF (I) AVOIDING TAX PENALTIES OR (II) PROMOTING, MARKET NG OR RECOMMENDING TO ANOTHER PARTY ANY TRANSACTION OR MATTER ADDRESSED HEREIN (OR IN ANY ATTACHMENTS).

ATTENTION: THIS MESSAGE IS SENT BY A LAW FIRM AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL. IF YOU RECEIVED THIS TRANSMISSION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY THE SENDER BY REPLY E-MA L AND DELETE THE MESSAGE AND ANY ATTACHMENTS.

From: Wael Sakallah [mailto:sakallahw@yahoo.com] Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2013 8:23 AM

To: Christopher Tinen

Subject: Re: Hive Trademark Assignment

Thank you for your response, my offer stands at \$50,000 or I am not interested. Thank you.

Wally

EXHIBIT "F"

Christopher Tinen

From: W Sakallah < sakallahw@yahoo.com> **Sent:** Thursday, January 02, 2014 5:58 PM

To: Christopher Tinen

Subject: Re: Hive Trademark Assignment

Hello Christopher,

As you know, I am not really interested in selling the trademark. That said, my offer of 50,000 stands firm.

Wally

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 2, 2014, at 3:54 PM, Christopher Tinen < ctinen@hcalaw.biz > wrote:

Wally,

Can you please provide a response to my email below by close of business today on the west coast (i.e. 7PM Minnesota time)? We do need to know what your position is on this today otherwise we have to go in a different direction. As always, thank you for your time on this.

Feel free to call me directly at (949) 540-6560 if you would like to discuss in more detail.

Sincerely,

CHRISTOPHER L. TINEN, ESQ.

HORWITZ, CRON & ARMSTRONG, LLP | 26475 RANCHO PARKWAY SOUTH, LAKE FOREST, CA 92630 PHONE: 949.540.6540 | Fax: 949.540.6578 | E-MAIL: ctinen@hcalaw.biz

<u>CIRCULAR 230 NOTICE</u>: IN ACCORDANCE WITH TREASURY REGULATIONS WE NOT FY YOU THAT ANY TAX ADVICE GIVEN HEREIN (OR IN ANY ATTACHMENTS) IS NOT NTENDED OR WRITTEN TO BE USED, AND CANNOT BE USED BY ANY TAXPAYER, FOR THE PURPOSE OF (I) AVOIDING TAX PENALTIES OR (II) PROMOTING, MARKETING OR RECOMMENDING TO ANOTHER PARTY ANY TRANSACTION OR MATTER ADDRESSED HEREIN (OR IN ANY ATTACHMENTS).

ATTENTION: THIS MESSAGE IS SENT BY A LAW FIRM AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL. IF YOU RECEIVED THIS TRANSMISSION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY THE SENDER BY REPLY E-MAIL AND DELETE THE MESSAGE AND ANY ATTACHMENTS.

EXHIBIT "G"

Christopher Tinen

From: Christopher Tinen

Sent: Thursday, January 02, 2014 7:28 PM

To: W Sakallah

Subject: Re: Hive Trademark Assignment

Wally,

After conferring with my client, I have been authorized to accept your offer for the sale and assignment of the Hive trademark to my client in exchange for \$50,000. Our office will work up the definitive documentation on this and get the documentation to you as soon as practicable. I thank you again for your time on this.

EXHIBIT "H"

Christopher Tinen

From: Christopher Tinen

Sent: Tuesday, January 21, 2014 10:55 PM

To: sakallahw@yahoo.com

Subject: HIVE Trademark Assignment

Attachments: 1-21-14 HIVE Trademark Assignment Agreement.pdf; 1-21-14 Trademark Assignment

Agreement.docx

Mr. Sakallah,

Please see the attached Assignment Agreement for the HIVE trademark. There is a .pdf clean copy and a word doc copy for any redline changes.

If the agreement is acceptable, please sign and return a copy to me via email. Your signature to the agreement must also be notarized with an acknowledgment form. In addition, the original certificate of registration should be mailed by certified mail to my attention at the address below upon execution of the Agreement.

Please do not hesitate to contact me directly with any questions or concerns on this agreement. Thank you.

Sincerely,

CHRISTOPHER L. TINEN, ESQ.

HORWITZ, CRON & ARMSTRONG, LLP | 26475 RANCHO PARKWAY SOUTH, LAKE FOREST, CA 92630 PHONE: 949.540.6540 | Fax: 949.540.6578 | E-MAIL: ctinen@hcalaw.biz

<u>CIRCULAR 230 NOTICE</u>: IN ACCORDANCE WITH TREASURY REGULATIONS WE NOT FY YOU THAT ANY TAX ADVICE GIVEN HEREIN (OR IN ANY ATTACHMENTS) IS NOT INTENDED OR WRITTEN TO BE USED, AND CANNOT BE USED BY ANY TAXPAYER, FOR THE PURPOSE OF (I) AVOIDING TAX PENALTIES OR (II) PROMOTING, MARKET NG OR RECOMMENDING TO ANOTHER PARTY ANY TRANSACTION OR MATTER ADDRESSED HEREIN (OR IN ANY ATTACHMENTS).

ATTENTION: THIS MESSAGE IS SENT BY A LAW FIRM AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL. IF YOU RECEIVED THIS TRANSMISSION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY THE SENDER BY REPLY E-MA L AND DELETE THE MESSAGE AND ANY ATTACHMENTS.

TRADEMARK ASSIGNMENT AGREEMENT

THIS TRADEMARK ASSIGNMENT AGREEMENT (the "Assignment Agreement") is made and entered as of this ____ day of January 2014 (the "Effective Date") by and between STONE ARCH STUDIO LLC ("Assignor") and VAPE HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation ("Assignee") (collectively, "Parties").

WHEREAS, Assignor owns the rights and priority in and to the "HIVE" trademark U.S. Registration No. 44513069 as registered with the U.S. Patent and Trade Office (the "Trademark") in International Class 034: Smoking pipes; Tobacco water pipes and the goodwill of the business symbolized thereby;

WHEREAS, Assignor wishes to assign and Assignee desires to acquire Assignor's entire right, title, priority and interest to the Trademark, together with the goodwill of the business that the Trademark symbolizes;

NOW THEREFORE, for good and valuable consideration exchanged, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, the Parties agree as follows:

- 1. <u>ASSIGNMENT</u>. Assignor does hereby irrevocably assign to Assignee, its successors and assigns, Assignor's entire ownership rights, title, interest and priority in and to the Trademark and all common law rights therein, together with the goodwill of the business in connection with which such Trademark are used; all rights to all state or federal registrations and applications which may have been or may be filed or issued with respect to the Trademark and any renewals thereof; all licenses, income, royalties, damages, and payments, now or hereafter due or payable with respect to the Trademark; all causes of action, either in law or equity, and the right to sue, counterclaim and recover for past, present and future infringement, misappropriation, or dilution of the Trademark against any third party and all rights corresponding thereto throughout the world (the "Assignment").
- 2. <u>CONSIDERATION</u>. In consideration for the Assignment, Assignee shall pay \$50,000 to Assignor within fifteen (15) days of the Effective Date.
- 3. **REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES**. Assignor represents, warrants and covenants to Assignee:
 - a. Assignor has the right, power and authority to enter into this Assignment Agreement;
 - b. Assignor is the exclusive owner of all right, title and interest, including intellectual property rights, in the Trademark;
 - c. The Trademark is free and clear of any liens, security interests, encumbrances or licenses;
 - d. The Trademark does not infringe the rights of any person or entity;

- e. There are no claims, pending or threatened, with respect to Assignor's rights in the Trademark;
- f. This Assignment Agreement is valid, binding and enforceable in accordance with its terms in all jurisdictions pertaining hereto; and
- g. Assignor is not subject to any agreement, judgment or order inconsistent with the terms of this Assignment Agreement.
- 4. **ENTIRE AGREEMENT**. This Assignment Agreement contains the entire understanding of the parties hereto with respect to its subject matter and supersedes any prior or contemporaneous written or oral agreements, representations or warranties between the parties.
- 5. <u>AMENDMENT</u>. This Assignment Agreement may only be amended by a written agreement signed by both parties which explicitly adjoins itself to this Assignment Agreement.
- 6. **SEVERABILITY**. If any term, provision, covenant or condition of this Assignment Agreement, or the application thereof to any person, place or circumstance, shall be held by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, unenforceable or void, such provision shall be severed from this Assignment Agreement, and the remainder of this Assignment Agreement and such term, provision, covenant or condition as applied to other persons, places and circumstances shall remain in full force and effect.
- 7. **NOTICE**. Any notice required or otherwise given pursuant to this Assignment Agreement shall be in writing and mailed certified return receipt requested, postage prepaid, or delivered by overnight delivery service to:

a. If to ASSIGNEE: Kyle Tracey

Vape Holdings, Inc.

20301 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 126B Woodland Hills, California 91364

b. If to ASSIGNOR: Wael Sakallah

Stone Arch Studio LLC 314 Buchanan St. NE Minneapolis, MN 55413

8. **FURTHER ASSURANCES**. Assignor shall timely execute and deliver any additional documents and perform such additional acts necessary or desirable to record, perfect

and maintain the interest of Assignee in and to the Trademark, and shall not enter into any agreement in conflict with this Assignment Agreement. Assignor shall not engage or enter into any transaction that may harm, threaten or jeopardize the goodwill and ownership interests in the Trademark held by Assignor henceforth. Assignor covenants with Assignee, its successors and assigns, that no assignment, grant, mortgage, license, or other agreement affecting the rights and property herein conveyed has been made to others by Assignor and that the full right to convey the same as herein expressed is possessed by Assignor. Assignor hereby requests the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks of the United States of America, whose duty it is to issue trademark registrations, to issue the Certificate of Registration or Renewal or to record assignments, for any registration of the Trademark to Assignee, its successors, and assigns, in accordance with the terms of this Assignment Agreement.

- 9. <u>CAPTIONS</u>. Captions to the section in this Assignment are included for convenience only and do not modify and of the terms of this Assignment Agreement.
- 10. **GOVERNING LAW**. This Assignment Agreement shall be construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California, without regards to principles of conflicts of laws.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have caused this Assignment Agreement to be executed the day and year first above written.

ASSIGNOR	ASSIGNEE
Stone Arch Studio, LLC	Vape Holdings, Inc.
By: Wael Sakallah	By: Kyle Tracey
Title:	Title: CEO

EXHIBIT "I"

LUTZ LAW FIRM
860 RAND TOWER
527 MARQUETTE AVENUE S.
MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55402
DAVID A. LUTZ, ESQ.
612-424-2110 (TEL)
612-343-4818 (FAX)
david@lutzlawfirm.com
www.lutzlawfirm.com

February 4, 2014

Vape Holdings 20301 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 126 Woodland Hills, CA 91364

Re: HIVE

Dear Vape Holdings,

I am the attorney who represents Stone Arch Studio, LLC and Wael Sakallah. I am in receipt of a letter dated January 27, 2014 whereby you submitted a check in the amount of \$50,000 to my client. I am returning this check to your attention as no agreement has been reached between you and my client. I am still reviewing the particular facts surrounding the events that have recently taken place, but please be advised that at this point the use of my client's intellectual property has not been authorized and that no binding agreement has bee reached with respect to my client's intellectual property.

Sincerely,

David A. Lutz

cc: Christopher L. Tinnen, Esq. Horwitz, Cron & Armstrong, LLP 26475 Rancho Parkway South Lake Forest, CA 92630

Case 2:14-cv-01682-FMO-J	C Document 1	Filed 03/07/14	Page 38 of 42	Page ID #:41	-670
				16-24/1220 5	571
86 860		E	Date 1 1 28	14	
PAY to the Stone A	ich Stud	dio Lu	·	\$ 50,000.	00
Fifty Thousand a	nd olioo-			_Dollars	Security Features Octaks on Back.
WELLS FARGO California wellsfargo.com					
FOR TRADEMARK	and the second s	4			MP
		6659"		PARTITION OF THE PARTIT	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES JUDGES

		en assigned to Di Jacqueline		Fernando M. C	Olguin	_ and the assigned		
The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:								
			2:14-cv-01682	FMO-JCx				
				tes District Court for ar discovery related		District of		
	All discovery rel	ated motions sho	ould be noticed or	n the calendar of the	e Magistrate Ju	ıdge.		
				Clerk, U. S. D	istrict Court			
	March 7, 20)14		By SBOURGI	EOIS			
	Date			Deputy Cl				
			NOTICE TO	COUNSEL				
		sst be served with ce must be served		l complaint on all de	fendants (if a	removal action is		
Subsec	uent document	s must be filed a	t the following lo	ocation:				
X	Western Division 312 N. Spring Str Los Angeles, CA	eet, G-8	Southern Division 411 West Fourth Santa Ana, CA 92	St., Ste 1053	Eastern Divis 3470 Twelfth Riverside, CA	Street, Room 134		
Failur	Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.							

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS (Che	eck box if you are repr	esenting yourself []	DEFENDANTS	DEFENDANTS (Check box if you are representing yourself)			
Vape Holdings, Inc.			STONE ARCH STUD	STONE ARCH STUDIO, LLC; WAEL "WALLY" SAKALLAH			
(b) County of Residence	e of First Listed Plair	tiff Los Angeles	County of Resid	ence of First Listed Defer	dant Hennepin, MN		
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAS	ES)		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	SES ONLY)			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name representing yourself, pro		•		Name, Address and Telephon rself, provide the same infor			
John Armstrong, Horwitz + A Forest, Orange County, CA 9 jarmstrong@horwitzarmstro	2630, (949) 540-6540 Tel		e				
II. BASIS OF JURISDIC	TION (Place an X in o	ne box only.)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES-For Dox for plaintiff and one for d	iversity Cases Only		
□ 1 HS Covernment	☐ 3. Federal Q	portion (LLC	· .	PTF DEF Incorporated or	Principal Place PTF DEF		
1. U.S. Government Plaintiff		: Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	x 1 of Business in the			
		,,	Citizen of Another State [2 2 Incorporated as of Business in A	nd Principal Place 5 X 5		
2. U.S. Government Defendant	4. Diversity (of Parties in	Indicate Citizenship Item III)	Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 Foreign Nation	6 6		
N/ ODICINI /Diago an V	in and havenhul						
	, .	3. Remanded from Appellate Court		ransferred from Another	Multi- District tigation		
V. REQUESTED IN COM	APLAINT: JURY DE	MAND: Yes	No (Check "Yes" o	only if demanded in com	plaint.)		
CLASS ACTION under	•	Yes X INo	MONEA DEWY	ANDED IN COMPLAINT:	\$ 1,000,000,00		
	<u> </u>		- Lander of the Control of the Contr	**	ctional statutes unless diversity.)		
BREACH OF CONTRACT; PRO	•	•	5	•	ctional statutes arisess arversity.		
SILEACITO CONTRACT, THO					A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an X in one bo	ox only).					
OTHER STATUTES	CONTRACT	REAL PROPERTY CON	r. IMMIGRATION	PRISONER PETITIONS	PROPERTY RIGHTS		
375 False-Claims Act	110 Insurance	240 Torts to Land	462 Naturalization Application	Habeas Corpus:	820 Copyrights		
C Reapportionment	120 Marine	245 Tort Product Liability	465 Other	463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate	830 Patent		
410 Antitrust	130 Miller Act	290 All Other Real	☐ Immigration Actions		x 840 Trademark		
430 Banks and Banking	140 Negotiable Instrument	Property	TORTS PERSONAL PROPERTY	530 General 535 Death Penalty	SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff)		
450 Commerce/ICC Rates/Etc.	150 Recovery of	PERSONAL INJURY	370 Other Fraud	Other	862 Black Lung (923)		
460 Deportation	Overpayment & Enforcement of	310 Airplane 315 Airplane	371 Truth in Lending	540 Mandamus/Other	863 DIWC/DIWW (405 (g))		
470 Racketeer Influ-	Judgment	Product Liability	380 Other Personal	550 Civil Rights	864 SSID Title XVI		
enced & Corrupt Org.	151 Medicare Act	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	` ' _ -	555 Prison Condition	865 RSI (405 (g))		
480 Consumer Credit	152 Recovery of Defaulted Student	330 Fed. Employers	385 Property Damag Product Liability	560 Civil Detainee Conditions of	FEDERAL TAX SUITS		
490 Cable/Sat TV	Loan (Excl. Vet.)	Liability 340 Marine	BANKRUPTCY	Confinement	870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or		
850 Securities/Com- modities/Exchange	153 Recovery of Overpayment of	345 Marine Product	422 Appeal 28 USC 158	FORFETTURE/PENALTY 625 Drug Related	☐ Defendant) ☐ 871 IRS-Third Party 26 USC		
890 Other Statutory	Vet. Benefits	☐ Liability	423 Withdrawal 28	L Seizure of Property 21	7609		
	☐ 160 Stockholders'	350 Motor Vehicle	USC 157	USC 881			
891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental		- 355 Motor Vehicle	CIVIL DICLITS	690 Other			
	Suits	355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	CIVIL RIGHTS				
☐ Matters			140 Othor Civil Pight	LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards			
Matters 895 Freedom of Info. Act	Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract	Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury	440 Other Civil Right 441 Voting	LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards			
895 Freedom of Info.	Suits 190 Other Contract	Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Med Malpratice 365 Personal Injury	440 Other Civil Right 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/	LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards			
895 Freedom of Info. Act 896 Arbitration	Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Med Malpratice 365 Personal Injury Product Liability	440 Other Civil Right 441 Voting 442 Employment	LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 740 Railway Labor Act			
895 Freedom of Info. Act 896 Arbitration 899 Admin. Procedures Act/Review of Appeal of	Suits 190 Other Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land	Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Med Malpratice 365 Personal Injury Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical	440 Other Civil Right 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 American with Disabilities-	LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations			
895 Freedom of Info. Act 896 Arbitration 899 Admin. Procedures	Suits 190 Other Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY	Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Med Malpratice 365 Personal Injury Product Liability 367 Health Care/	440 Other Civil Right 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 American with Disabilities- Employment 446 American with	LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor			
895 Freedom of Info. Act 896 Arbitration 899 Admin. Procedures Act/Review of Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of	Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation	Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Med Malpratice 365 Personal Injury Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos	440 Other Civil Right 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 American with Disabilities- Employment 446 American with Disabilities-Other	LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Employee Ret. Inc.			
895 Freedom of Info. Act 896 Arbitration 899 Admin. Procedures Act/Review of Appeal of Agency Decision	Suits 190 Other Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure	Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Med Malpratice 365 Personal Injury Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability	440 Other Civil Right 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 American with Disabilities- Employment 446 American with	LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor	1682		

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

CV-71 (11/13)

Case 2:14-cv-016 Nithed Mark described the content of the content

VIII. VENUE: Your answers to the questions below will determine the division of the Court to which this case will most likely be initially assigned. This initial assignment is subject to change, in accordance with the Court's General Orders, upon review by the Court of your Complaint or Notice of Removal.

Question A: Was this case removed from state court?			STATE CASE WAS PENDING IN THE COUNTY OF: INITIAL DIVISION IN CACI					CD IS:	
Yes 🗷 No			Los Angeles					Western	
If "no, " go to Question B. If "yes," check		☐ Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo					Western		
box to the right that applies, enter the corresponding division in response to		Orange						Southern	
Question D, below, and skip to Section	ıIX.	Ri	iverside or San Bernardino					Eastern	
Ougstion Polis the United States or	one of								
Question B: Is the United States, or one o its agencies or employees, a party to this			If the United States, or or	ne of its ag	encies o	or employees, is a party, is	it:	INITI	۸۱
action?			A PLAINTIFF?			A DEFENDANT?		DIVISIO	N IN
Yes X No			n check the box below for the co hich the majority of DEFENDANTS	•		n check the box below for the hich the majority of PLAINTIF		CACD	IS:
If "no, " go to Question C. If "yes," check			os Angeles			s Angeles		Weste	ern
box to the right that applies, enter the corresponding division in response to			entura, Santa Barbara, or San bispo	Luis		entura, Santa Barbara, or S pispo	an Luis	Weste	ern
Question D, below, and skip to Section	IX.	o	range		☐ Or	ange		South	ern
		Ri	iverside or San Bernardino		Riverside or San Bernardino			Easte	ern
		Other			Other			Weste	ern
Question C: Location of plaintiffs, defendants, and claims? (Make only one selection per row)	A. Los Ang Coun	geles	B. Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo Counties	C. Orange (County	D. Riverside or San Bernardino Counties		E. de the Central ct of California	F. Other
Indicate the location in which a majority of plaintiffs reside:	×]]				
Indicate the location in which a majority of defendants reside:]]				×
Indicate the location in which a majority of claims arose:	×]							
C.1. Is either of the following true? I	If so, ch	eck th	e one that applies:	C.2. Is	either o	of the following true? If s	so, check the	one that applies:	
2 or more answers in Columi	n C			2 or more answers in Column D					
only 1 answer in Column C a	ınd no aı	nswer	s in Column D	only 1 answer in Column D and no answers in Column C					
Your case will initia SOUTHEF			d to the	Your case will initially be assigned to the EASTERN DIVISION.					
Enter "Southern" in resp				Enter "Eastern" in response to Question D, below.					
If none applies, answe	r questio	on C2	to the right.			If none applies, g	o to the box b	pelow.	
			Your case will in WES Enter "Western" in r	TERN DIVIS	SION.				
Question D: Initial Division?						INITIAL DIV	/ISION IN CAC	CD .	
Enter the initial division determined by	y Questio	on A, E	3, or C above:			Weste	rn Division		

CV-71 (11/13) CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 2 of 3

Case 2:14-cv-016 North of the control of the contro

IX(a). IDENTICAL CASES:	Has this action been previously filed in this court and dismissed, remanded or closed?	× NO	YES				
If yes, list case number(s	:						
IX(b). RELATED CASES: Have any cases been previously filed in this court that are related to the present case? X NO YES							
If yes, list case number(s	: 						
Civil cases are deemed relat	ed if a previously filed case and the present case:						
(Check all boxes that apply)	A. Arise from the same or closely related transactions, happenings, or events; or						
	B. Call for determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact; or						
C. For other reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or							
D. Involve the same patent, trademark or copyright, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.							
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTOI (OR SELF-REPRESENTED	/a laba Aussatuana	March 7, 201	4				

Notice to Counsel/Parties: The CV-71 (JS-44) Civil Cover Sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed but is used by the Clerk of the Court for the purpose of statistics, venue and initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet).

Key to Statistical codes relating to Social Security Cases:

Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of Cause of Action
861	HIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))